



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,520	10/31/2005	Hans Loibner	4518-0108PUS1	3426
2252	7590	12/10/2009	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			DUFFY, BRADLEY	
PO BOX 747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			1643	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/10/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/524,520	LOIBNER ET AL.	
	Examiner BRADLEY DUFFY	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:(1) BRAD DUFFY.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) Leonard Svensson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

na

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In light of the interview conducted on 8/27/09, the Examiner contacted Mr. Svensson to determine if Applicant still wanted to file a supplemental amendment before examination of the pending claims occurred. Mr. Svensson left a message with the Examiner on 12/1/09 that Applicant was not going to file an amendment and to proceed with examination of the pending claims.